## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	APPLICATION AND
	ORDER OF EXCLUDABLE DELAY
-V-	Case No. 25 CT 113
Stephan De Groat	Case 10. 25 a 115
The United States of America and the defendant hereby jointly request that the time period from which to the computation of the time period within	
<ul> <li>an information or indictment must be filed, or (XW)</li> <li>trial of the charges against defendant must commence. (XC)</li> </ul>	
The parties seek the exclusion of the foregoing period because	
they are engaged in plea negotiations, which they believe are likely to result in a disposition of this case without trial, and they require an exclusion of time in order to focus efforts on plea negotiations without the risk that they would not, despite their diligence, have reasonable time for effective preparation for trial,	
( ) they need additional time to prepare for tr	ial due to the complexity of case,
(x) continued feeduction and	review of discovery
Sixth Amendment to the Constitution; the Speedy Trial Act this Court adopted pursuant to that Act; and Rule 50(b) of the understands that he/she has a right to be tried before a jury with Defendant  Counsel for Defendant	ne Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The defendant
The joint application of the United States of American on the date below, the time period from is hereby excluded in computing the time within which ( ) must commence. The Court finds that this exclusion of time the public and the defendant in a speedy trial for the reasons	an information or indictment must be filed or (%) trial e serves the ends of justice and outweigh the interests of
(X) given the reasonable likelihood that ongoing without trial, the exclusion of time will allow all counsel to that they would be denied the reasonable time necessary for exercise of due diligence.  (X) continued production and	effective preparation for trial, taking into account the
SO ORDERED.	
Dated: Brooklyn, N.Y  Amil // 20_25	United States Wand Judge